Revenue Source Diversification and Deficit Funding in Bayelsa State

Confidence Joel IHENYEN (PhD)[1], Kelvin Eberechukwu EHIOGU[2] and Patrick ENEYE[3]
[1,2,3] Department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences
Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria
DOI: 10.56201/ijefm.v10.no6.2025.pg8.18

Abstract
This study investigates the nexus between revenue source diversification and deficit funding in Bayelsa State, focusing on the relationship between statutory allocation, revenue from taxes, licenses, and fines on debt funding from 2020 to 2024. The research employs an ex post facto design, utilizing secondary data sourced from the Bayelsa State Ministry of Finance and the Debt Management Office. Descriptive statistics and Spearman correlation analysis were used to assess the strength and significance of the relationships. The findings reveal that none of the revenue sources had a statistically significant relationship with debt funding, suggesting inefficiencies in how these revenue streams are utilized to manage debt. The study's practical and policy implications point to the need for Bayelsa State to rethink its debt management strategies and explore more effective ways of aligning its revenue sources with debt servicing. Despite the reliance on statutory allocation and taxes, these streams appear insufficient in managing debt, highlighting the potential benefit of diversifying revenue sources and improving collection mechanisms. The study concludes that a reassessment of fiscal policies is necessary to enhance financial sustainability and ensure more strategic utilization of state revenues for debt management.

Keyword: *Revenue Source Diversification, Debt Funding, Public Finance*

1. Introduction

In the context of contemporary governance, the persistent challenges associated with revenue dependency have necessitated a critical reassessment of fiscal frameworks, particularly within sub-national governments in Nigeria. Bayelsa State, strategically situated in the oil-rich Niger Delta region, presents a compelling case of fiscal vulnerability and the urgent need for economic diversification. The state's fiscal architecture has long been anchored on revenues derived from crude oil, a resource that, while lucrative, is inherently volatile due to fluctuations in global oil prices, geopolitical tensions, and shifting demands within the international energy market.

This overdependence on oil has exposed Bayelsa State to systemic risks, with recurrent revenue shortfalls resulting in budgetary deficits, delayed infrastructural development, and constraints in funding essential public services such as healthcare, education, and social welfare. The mono-economy model has proven unsustainable, especially in periods of low oil prices or disruptions in oil production due to environmental degradation, militancy, or policy inconsistencies.

In light of these persistent fiscal challenges, the imperative for revenue diversification has become more pronounced. Exploring alternative and sustainable sources of revenue is not only necessary for reducing dependence on oil but also for enhancing the economic resilience of the state. Effective revenue diversification strategies can serve as a buffer against economic shocks and provide a stable financial base for long-term development planning.

The central issue confronting Bayelsa State is the urgent need to diversify its revenue base to reduce excessive dependence on oil and gas proceeds. This overreliance on a single, highly volatile economic sector has contributed to chronic fiscal instability, making the state's economy highly susceptible to external shocks. When oil revenues dwindle, the state's capacity to meet its financial obligations, execute development projects, and provide public services is significantly compromised.

In addition to revenue dependency, the issue of deficit funding presents another layer of fiscal difficulty. Recurrent budget deficits, driven by mismatches between projected revenues and actual expenditures, have not only limited the state's development potential but also increased its debt burden. This has led to borrowing, both domestic and external, further deepening the state's fiscal fragility.

These financial pressures have had cascading effects on the socio-economic wellbeing of the population. Infrastructure development has slowed, public sector wages are often delayed, and social programs are underfunded. Without urgent intervention, these problems could exacerbate poverty, unemployment, and social unrest in the region.

Objective of the study

The main aim of the study is to investigate the revenue source diversification and deficit funding in Bayelsa state, while the specific objectives are;

1. To examine the relationship between statutory allocation and debt funding in Bayelsa state.
2. To examine the relationship between revenue from taxes and debt funding in Bayelsa state.
3. To examine the relationship between revenue from license and debt funding in Bayelsa state.
4. To examine the relationship between revenue from fines and debt funding in Bayelsa state.

Research Hypothesis:

The following null hypotheses shall guide the outcome of this study;

Ho1. There is no significant relationship between statutory allocation and debt funding in Bayelsa state.

Ho2. There is no significant relationship between revenue from taxes does and debt funding in Bayelsa state.

Ho3. There is no significant relationship between revenue from license and debt funding in Bayelsa state.

Ho4. There is no significant relationship between revenue from fines and debt funding in Bayelsa state.

Justification of Study

The topic of "Revenue Source Diversification and Deficit Funding in Bayelsa State" is of significant importance due to several critical factors that affect the state's economic stability, development, and governance. The justification for this study is grounded in the following points:

1. Economic Vulnerability

Bayelsa State's heavy reliance on oil revenue makes its economy extremely vulnerable to fluctuations in global oil prices. This dependence on a single revenue source exposes the state to economic instability, particularly during periods of low oil prices or production disruptions. By investigating revenue source diversification, this study seeks to address the state's economic vulnerability, providing insights into how a broader revenue base can lead to more stable and sustainable fiscal health.

2. Fiscal Sustainability
The frequent occurrence of budget deficits in Bayelsa State highlights the need for effective fiscal management strategies. Deficit funding, often through borrowing, has led to a rising debt burden, which could constrain the state's future fiscal capacity and development. Understanding the relationship between revenue diversification and deficit funding is crucial for developing strategies that ensure long-term fiscal sustainability. This study is justified as it aims to provide actionable insights that can help the state manage its deficits more effectively and reduce its reliance on unsustainable borrowing.

3. Policy Relevance
The Nigerian government, at both federal and state levels, has emphasized the importance of economic diversification as a national policy priority. For Bayelsa State, with its unique economic structure, this policy has particular relevance. However, there has been limited research specifically focused on how revenue diversification strategies can be implemented effectively in the state to reduce fiscal deficits. This study fills this gap by providing evidence-based recommendations that align with national policy goals, thereby contributing to more informed decision-making by policymakers.

4. Socio-Economic Impact
The socio-economic development of Bayelsa State is closely tied to its fiscal policies. Persistent budget deficits and high debt levels can negatively impact the state’s ability to provide essential public services, such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure development. By exploring how revenue diversification can improve fiscal outcomes, this study contributes to the broader goal of enhancing the quality of life for Bayelsa's citizens. The study is justified as it addresses the critical need for sustainable development strategies that can mitigate the adverse socio-economic impacts of fiscal mismanagement.

5. Contribution to Academic Knowledge
While there has been extensive research on revenue diversification and fiscal policy in Nigeria, there is a specific need for studies that focus on sub-national entities like Bayelsa State, which have distinct economic characteristics. This study contributes to the academic discourse by providing a case study on Bayelsa State, offering insights that can be applicable to other oil-dependent regions facing similar challenges. The study’s findings will enrich the existing literature on sub-national fiscal policy, revenue diversification, and economic development.

6. Urgency of Implementation
The urgency of diversifying revenue sources in Bayelsa State cannot be overstated, given the declining trend in oil revenues and the increasing fiscal pressures. This study is justified by the pressing need to explore and implement effective revenue diversification strategies that can provide immediate and long-term solutions to the state’s fiscal challenges. The research outcomes are expected to offer timely recommendations that can guide the state’s economic planning and budgeting processes.

In conclusion, the study on "Revenue Source Diversification and Deficit Funding in Bayelsa State" is justified by its potential to address critical economic vulnerabilities, contribute to fiscal sustainability, support policy development, and enhance socio-economic outcomes. The findings from this study will be valuable not only for Bayelsa State but also for other regions facing similar fiscal challenges, making it a significant and timely contribution to both academic research and practical policy-making.

Literature Review

This literature review provides a comprehensive overview of the issues surrounding revenue diversification and deficit funding in Bayelsa State, drawing from various scholarly sources to highlight the challenges and opportunities for the state.Revenue source diversification refers to the strategic broadening of income streams to reduce reliance on a single source of revenue. This concept is particularly crucial for regions dependent on volatile revenue streams, such as those reliant on natural resources. For Bayelsa State, in Nigeria's Niger Delta, revenue diversification is essential due to its heavy dependence on oil revenue, which is subject to global price fluctuations and associated economic instability.

Current Revenue Sources

- Oil and Gas Revenue: The largest share of Bayelsa's revenue comes from oil and gas production. This includes federal allocations based on oil royalties and taxes.

- Federal Allocations: Bayelsa receives a significant portion of its revenue from federal allocations, which are derived from the federation account and distributed based on revenue-sharing formulas.

- Internally Generated Revenue (IGR): Efforts to increase IGR have included taxes, levies, and fees collected from various sectors. However, this has traditionally been a smaller part of the state's revenue base.

Recent Diversification Efforts

- Agriculture: The state has been investing in agriculture to develop alternative revenue streams. This includes promoting cash crops such as palm oil, rubber, and fisheries.

- Tourism: Efforts have been made to develop tourism by leveraging Bayelsa's natural beauty and cultural heritage. Potential tourism projects include ecotourism and cultural festivals.

- Industry and Commerce: Initiatives to boost local industries and support small and medium enterprises (SMEs) aim to create additional revenue streams and job opportunities.

- Real Estate and Infrastructure: Investments in real estate and infrastructure development are expected to generate revenue through property taxes and development levies.

Challenges in Diversification

- Economic and Environmental Factors: Fluctuations in oil prices and environmental degradation affect the state's ability to diversify effectively.

- Infrastructure and Capacity Issues: Limited infrastructure and capacity constraints hinder the growth of sectors like agriculture and tourism.
- Political and Institutional Constraints: Political instability and weak institutional frameworks can impede efforts to diversify revenue sources.

Empirical Review

2.1:1The Economic Context of Bayelsa State: Bayelsa State is one of Nigeria's oil-rich states, contributing significantly to the country's oil production. However, this dependency on oil revenue has left the state vulnerable to global oil price shocks. Studies have shown that such mono-economies face severe economic challenges when their primary revenue source is disrupted (Eboh, 2019). The state's economic performance is further constrained by infrastructural deficits, low industrialization, and high unemployment rates, necessitating the exploration of alternative revenue sources.

2.1-2: Revenue Diversification Strategies

Revenue diversification strategies can include enhancing internal revenue generation (IGR), promoting non-oil sectors like agriculture, tourism, and manufacturing, and attracting foreign and domestic investments. According to Ogujiuba and Obiechina (2020), states in Nigeria, including Bayelsa, must focus on strengthening IGR through improved tax collection mechanisms, developing the agricultural sector, and investing in human capital development. Bayelsa's unique geographical features also offer potential for tourism, which remains underdeveloped due to insecurity and poor infrastructure.

2.1-3. Deficit Funding in Bayelsa State

Deficit funding refers to the methods by which a government finances its expenditures exceeding its revenue, often through borrowing. Bayelsa State, like many other Nigerian states, has faced fiscal deficits due to declining oil revenues and the inability to generate sufficient internal revenue. According to Adegoroye (2018), states in the Niger Delta have increasingly relied on federal allocations and borrowing to fund their budgets. However, this has led to rising debt levels, which could constrain future fiscal capacity.

2.1-4. Challenges of Deficit Funding

The reliance on deficit funding in Bayelsa State poses several challenges. High debt levels can lead to increased interest payments, crowding out essential public spending on infrastructure, education, and healthcare (Usman, 2021). Moreover, the dependence on federal allocations and loans may limit the state's autonomy in budget planning and reduce the capacity for sustainable development. Bayelsa State's debt sustainability is further complicated by its reliance on oil revenue, which is inherently unstable.

2.1-5. The Role of Good Governance and Policy Reforms

Good governance and policy reforms are crucial for effective revenue diversification and deficit management. Transparent governance, anti-corruption measures, and public financial management reforms can improve the efficiency of revenue collection and expenditure (Ogunlana, 2020). In Bayelsa, policy reforms aimed at enhancing the business environment, addressing insecurity, and improving infrastructure could attract investment in non-oil sectors, thus reducing the state's dependence on oil revenue and borrowing.

In light of the pressing fiscal challenges faced by Bayelsa State, the necessity for revenue diversification becomes increasingly evident. The over-reliance on oil revenues has historically rendered the state vulnerable to external market fluctuations, resulting in persistent deficits that hinder socio-economic development. As highlighted, Nigeria's dependence on oil has resulted in significant repercussions for income stability, poverty levels, and development progress, emphasized by the approximately two-thirds of the population living on less than a dollar per day (Oladeji et al., 2015). To address these challenges, local governments must enhance their internal revenue generation strategies by tapping into diverse sectors such as agriculture, tourism, and small-scale enterprises. Although previous studies indicate a positive correlation between varying revenue sources and local governance effectiveness, there is a clear gap in the literature regarding strategic implementation in Nigeria (Jimoh et al., 2015). Thus, a multifaceted approach is essential to transform Bayelsa States fiscal landscape and promote sustainable growth.From all reviews made, it can be deduced that to mitigate the risks associated with revenue dependency and deficit funding, Bayelsa State must adopt a comprehensive approach to economic diversification. This includes boosting internal revenue through improved tax administration, investing in non-oil sectors, and implementing fiscal discipline to manage debt levels effectively. Additionally, the state should prioritize good governance and policy reforms to create a conducive environment for sustainable economic growth.

Methodology

The research design adopted for this study is an ex post facto design. This design is appropriate as it allows the analysis of existing data to investigate the relationship between revenue source diversification and deficit funding in Bayelsa State. The study utilizes secondary data, which is sourced from official reports published by the Bayelsa State Ministry of Finance and the Debt Management Office. The period under review spans from 2020 to 2024, ensuring that the data reflects recent economic conditions and policy decisions in the state. Data analysis techniques employed include descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics are used to provide a summary of the revenue sources and debt funding trends over the study period. Inferential statistics, such as correlation analysis (using Spearman rank-order correlation), are applied to determine the relationship between of various revenue sources—statutory allocation, revenue from taxes, licenses, and fines—and debt funding. These techniques help to establish the significance and extent of the impact of revenue diversification on deficit funding in Bayelsa State.

Model Specification

The model for this study is thus;

$DF=\beta_0+\beta_1SA+\beta_2RT+\beta_3RL+\beta_4RF+\varepsilon$

Where:

- **DF** = Debt Funding (the dependent variable)
- **SA** = Statutory Allocation (independent variable 1)
- **RT** = Revenue from Taxes (independent variable 2)
- **RL** = Revenue from Licenses (independent variable 3)
- **RF** = Revenue from Fines (independent variable 4)
- $\beta0$ = Intercept (constant)
- $\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4$ = Coefficients for the independent variables
- ε = Error term

Result, Implication of Findings and Conclusion
Descriptive Statistics Result

	DEBT_FUND	STATUS_ALLOC	TAX_REV	REV_LICENSE	REV_FINE
Mean	25.43073	25.26269	23.59364	17.08164	16.14902
Median	25.70941	25.90054	23.46301	17.34813	15.19580
Maximum	25.76418	26.55806	24.41218	17.99067	19.72674
Minimum	24.57827	22.27305	23.06168	15.38621	14.90148
Std. Dev.	0.504265	1.710717	0.501568	1.009226	2.046325
Skewness	-1.157659	-1.328431	0.861840	-1.070768	1.344761
Kurtosis	2.686364	3.037225	2.619527	2.723536	3.015628
Jarque-Bera	1.137306	1.470895	0.649132	0.971377	1.507035
Probability	0.566288	0.479291	0.722841	0.615274	0.470708
Sum	127.1537	126.3134	117.9682	85.40819	80.74509
Sum Sq. Dev.	1.017132	11.70621	1.006283	4.074149	16.74979
Observations	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**

Source: Eviews 9.0

The descriptive statistics offer a summary of the information regarding the many different sources of revenue in Bayelsa State as well as the data on debt funding. The mean value of debt funding is 25.43, which indicates that the average amount of debt funding is largely compatible with statutory allocation, which has a mean of 25.26. This is because the mean value of debt funding is 25.43. There is a modest decrease in the mean value of 23.59 for the revenue that comes from taxes, while the mean values for the revenue that comes from licenses and fines are the lowest—17.08 and 16.15, respectively. When compared to debt funding, which has a low standard deviation of 0.50, which suggests relative stability, the standard deviation reveals that revenue from fines (2.05) exhibits the most unpredictability, followed by statutory allocation (1.71). This indicates that there are greater changes in these sources than there are in debt funding.

The values of skewness and kurtosis bring attention to the properties of the data associated with its distribution. Both the debt funding and the statutory allocation are negatively skewed (-1.16 and -1.33, respectively), which suggests a leftward bias. This means that lower values occur more frequently than higher ones. On the other hand, the money that comes from taxes and fines has positive skewness, which indicates that increases in value are more prevalent. In light of the fact that all of the probability values are greater than 0.05, the results of the Jarque-Bera test show that none of the variables display any significant deviations from the normal distribution. This indicates that the data are reasonably regularly distributed, which means that it is suitable for additional inferential statistical analysis, such as correlation analysis, to investigate the links that exist between the variables.

Correlation Analysis Result

Correlation Analysis: Spearman rank-order
Date: 09/27/24 Time: 06:43
Sample: 2020 2024
Included observations: 5

Correlation SSCP t-Statistic Probability	DEBT_FUND	STATUS_ALLOC	TAX_REV	REV_LICENSE
DEBT_FUND	1.000000			
	10.00000			

STATUS_ALLOC	0.600000	1.000000		
	6.000000	10.00000		
	1.299038	-----		
	0.2848	-----		
TAX_REV	0.100000	0.000000	1.000000	
	1.000000	0.000000	10.00000	
	0.174078	0.000000	-----	
	0.8729	1.0000	-----	
REV_LICENSE	0.000000	-0.100000	0.900000	1.000000
	0.000000	-1.000000	9.000000	10.00000
	0.000000	-0.174078	3.576237	-----
	1.0000	0.8729	0.0374	-----
REV_FINE	-0.500000	-0.300000	0.700000	0.500000
	-5.000000	-3.000000	7.000000	5.000000
	-1.000000	-0.544705	1.697749	1.000000
	0.3910	0.6238	0.1881	0.3910

Source: Eviews 9.0

A Spearman rank-order correlation study is being conducted in Bayelsa State in order to evaluate the correlations that exist between debt funding and the many varied sources of revenue. It appears from the findings that there is a somewhat positive correlation of 0.60 between debt funding and statutory allocation. This indicates that there is a tendency for debt financing to increase in tandem with an increase in statutory allocation. However, this association is not statistically significant at conventional significance levels, as indicated by the probability value of 0.2848. This indicates that the correlation, albeit being positive, may not be strong enough to definitely claim a causal relationship based solely on the data presented here.

Tax revenue has a very modest positive correlation of 0.10 with debt funding. This correlation is seen in the graph. This suggests that there is not a substantial correlation between changes in debt funding and changes in tax collections on a consistent basis. This is further supported by the likelihood value of 0.8729, which indicates that there is no substantial association between the revenue from taxes and the funding of debt and debt. The correlation coefficient between statutory allocation and tax revenue is 0.00, which indicates that there is no linear link between these two variables. In addition, there is no correlation between the two variables.

There is no apparent linear link between the two variables since there is no correlation between loan funding and revenue from licenses. This indicates that there is no relationship between the two variables. On the other hand, the revenue from licenses has a strong positive correlation of 0.90 with the revenue from taxes, and this association is statistically significant with a probability of 0.0374. The evidence presented here demonstrates that there is a considerable correlation between increases in tax income and increases in revenue from licenses. In contrast, the revenue from fines has a moderately negative correlation of -0.50 with debt funding. However, this relationship is not statistically significant, as evidenced by the probability value of 0.3910, which indicates that this relationship is not statistically significant. This negative association suggests that a higher revenue from fines could be related with a lower debt funding, although the statistical strength of the relationship is not strong enough to support this hypothesis.

Test of Hypotheses

(Ho1): There is no significant relationship between statutory allocation and debt funding in Bayelsa State.

From the correlation analysis, the correlation coefficient between statutory allocation and debt funding is **0.60** with a p-value of 0.2848. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Thus, we conclude that there is no statistically significant relationship between statutory allocation and debt funding.

(Ho2): There is no significant relationship between revenue from taxes and debt funding in Bayelsa State.

The correlation coefficient between revenue from taxes and debt funding is 0.10, with a p-value of 0.8729. This high p-value indicates that we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, there is no statistically significant relationship between revenue from taxes and debt funding.

(Ho3): There is no significant relationship between revenue from licenses and debt funding in Bayelsa State.

The correlation coefficient between revenue from licenses and debt funding is 0.00, with a p-value of 1.0000. Since the p-value is far above 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. This confirms that there is no statistically significant relationship between revenue from licenses and debt funding.

(Ho4): There is no significant relationship between revenue from fines and debt funding in Bayelsa State.

The correlation coefficient between revenue from fines and debt funding is -0.50, with a p-value of 0.3910. Given that the p-value is greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Consequently, we conclude that there is no statistically significant relationship

Implication of Findings

In terms of Bayelsa State's strategy for managing debt funding and revenue diversification, the findings of this study have consequences that are both practical and policy-oriented. On a more pragmatic level, the absence of statistically significant correlations between debt funding and the major income sources—statutory allocation, taxes, licenses, and fines—indicates that the state may need to reevaluate the manner in which it manages its debt in relation to the revenue streams it generates. The lack of a significant association between these revenue sources and debt levels suggests that fluctuations in these sources of revenue do not have a significant impact on debt levels. This may be an indication of inefficiencies in the allocation of revenue toward debt payment or the potential misalignment of revenue sources with funding requirements.

The findings indicate that Bayelsa State may need to investigate alternate techniques in order to improve its debt sustainability. This is something that should be considered from a policy viewpoint. There is a possibility that relying solely on statutory allocation and taxes will not be adequate for efficient debt management. This necessitates the implementation of policies that encourage the diversification of revenue sources beyond the conventional ones. It may also be of critical importance to strengthen revenue collection procedures for licenses and fines, to enhance transparency and accountability in the management of statutory allocations, and to strengthen these processes individually. It is possible that policymakers will take into consideration the creation of policies that link attempts to raise revenue more directly with plans to repay debt in order to guarantee that funds are efficiently allocated to lessen the burdens of debt obligation.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study examined the relationship between revenue source diversification and deficit funding (measured by debt funding) in Bayelsa State, focusing on statutory allocation, revenue from taxes, licenses, and fines. The findings indicate that none of the revenue sources had a statistically significant relationship with debt funding, suggesting that these revenue streams may not be effectively aligned with the state's debt management strategies. This highlights the need for Bayelsa State to reassess its fiscal policies and explore alternative revenue generation and debt management approaches to improve financial sustainability and ensure more effective debt servicing.

References

Adegoroye, F. (2018). "Fiscal Challenges in Nigeria's Niger Delta: A Case Study of Bayelsa State." Journal of Development Studies, 45(3), 200-215.

Eboh, M. (2019). "Economic Vulnerability of Mono-Economies: The Case of Bayelsa State." African Economic Review, 14(2), 112-129.

Ogujiuba, K., &Obiechina, M. (2020). "Revenue Generation and Diversification in Nigerian States: Challenges and Prospects." Journal of Economic Policy Reform, 23(4), 345-360.

Ogunlana, K. (2020). "Governance, Transparency, and Revenue Diversification in Nigerian States."Public Administration and Development, 40(1), 89-104.

Usman, A. (2021). "Debt Sustainability in Oil-Dependent Economies: Lessons from Nigeria's Niger Delta." International Journal of Fiscal Policy, 7(1), 77-93.

Oladeji, Abubakar (2015). Fiscal Crisis and the Politics of Non-Oil Revenue Drive in Nigeria. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669759.pdf

Jimoh, OjoIhiovi, Mohammed, Ahmed Nma, Mustapha, LateefOlumide, Ohiaka, Col IZ, Onyabe, Joseph Majiyebo, Yahaya, OnipeAdabenege (2015). Principal Components Analysis of Local Government Revenue in Nigeria: 1993 – 2014. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669792.pdf

Osu, Victor Richard (2017). A critical evaluation of the prospects for a transition towards ocean based renewable energy development in Nigeria.. https://core.ac.uk/download/222840156.pdf

Musa Ahmed, Habiba (2018). Conflicts in the Niger Delta: Analysis of Causes, Impacts and Resolution Strategies. https://core.ac.uk/download/428339524.pdf

Oladeji, Abubakar (2015). Fiscal Crisis and the Politics of Non-Oil Revenue Drive in Nigeria. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669759.pdf

Jimoh, OjoIhiovi, Mohammed, Ahmed Nma, Mustapha, LateefOlumide, Ohiaka, Col IZ, Onyabe, Joseph Majiyebo, Yahaya, OnipeAdabenege (2015). Principal Components Analysis of Local Government Revenue in Nigeria: 1993 – 2014. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669792.pdf

Oladeji, Abubakar (2015). Fiscal Crisis and the Politics of Non-Oil Revenue Drive in Nigeria. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669759.pdf

Jimoh, OjoIhiovi, Mohammed, Ahmed Nma, Mustapha, LateefOlumide, Ohiaka, Col IZ, Onyabe, Joseph Majiyebo, Yahaya, OnipeAdabenege (2015). Principal Components Analysis of Local Government Revenue in Nigeria: 1993 – 2014. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669792.pdf

Temitope, Olaniyi, Kolawole (2015). Revitalizing the Nigerian Economy: Beyond Western Orthodox Approach to Heterodox Economics Panacea. https://core.ac.uk/download/234647058.pdf

Oladeji, Abubakar (2015). Fiscal Crisis and the Politics of Non-Oil Revenue Drive in Nigeria. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669759.pdf

Oladeji, Abubakar (2015). Fiscal Crisis and the Politics of Non-Oil Revenue Drive in Nigeria. https://core.ac.uk/download/234669759.pdf

Musa Ahmed, Habiba (2018). Conflicts in the Niger Delta: Analysis of Causes, Impacts and Resolution Strategies. https://core.ac.uk/download/428339524.pdf